

Fitness-For-Service

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1, June, 2016



This is a preview. [Click here to purchase the full publication.](#)

[Intentionally Left Blank]

Foreword

In contrast to the straightforward and conservative calculations that are typically found in design codes, more sophisticated assessment of metallurgical conditions and analyses of local stresses and strains can more precisely indicate whether operating equipment is fit for its intended service or whether particular fabrication defects or in-service deterioration threaten its integrity. Such analyses offer a sound basis for decisions to continue to run as is or to alter, repair, monitor, retire or replace the equipment.

The publication of the American Petroleum Institute's Recommended Practice 579, Fitness-For-Service, in January 2000 provided the refining and petrochemical industry with a compendium of consensus methods for reliable assessment of the structural integrity of equipment containing identified flaws or damage. API RP 579 was written to be used in conjunction with the refining and petrochemical industry's existing codes for pressure vessels, piping and aboveground storage tanks (API 510, API 570 and API 653). The standardized Fitness-For-Service assessment procedures presented in API RP 579 provide technically sound consensus approaches that ensure the safety of plant personnel and the public while aging equipment continues to operate, and can be used to optimize maintenance and operation practices, maintain availability and enhance the long-term economic performance of plant equipment.

Recommended Practice 579 was prepared by a committee of the American Petroleum Institute with representatives of the Chemical Manufacturers Association, as well as some individuals associated with related industries. It grew out of a resource document developed by a Joint Industry Program on Fitness-For-Service administered by The Materials Properties Council. Although it incorporated the best practices known to the committee members, it was written as a Recommended Practice rather than as a mandatory standard or code.

While API was developing Fitness-For-Service methodology for the refining and petrochemical industry, the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) also began to address post-construction integrity issues. Realizing the possibility of overlap, duplication and conflict in parallel standards, ASME and API formed the Fitness-For-Service Joint Committee in 2001 to develop and maintain a Fitness-For-Service standard for equipment operated in a wide range of process, manufacturing and power generation industries. It was intended that this collaboration would promote the widespread adoption of these practices by regulatory bodies. The Joint Committee included the original members of the API Committee that wrote Recommended Practice 579, complemented by a similar number of ASME members representing similar areas of expertise in other industries such as chemicals, power generation and pulp and paper. In addition to owner representatives, it included substantial international participation and subject matter experts from universities and consulting firms.

In June 2007, the Fitness-For-Service Joint Committee published the first edition of API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 Fitness-For-Service.

The 2016 publication of API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 includes a number of modifications and technical improvements. Some of the more significant changes are the following:

- Reorganized the standard to facilitate use and updates.
- Expanded equipment design code coverage.
- Added Annex for establishing an allowable Remaining Strength Factor (*RSF*).
- Simplified Level 1 criterion for the circumferential extent of a Local Thin Area (*LTA*) through the modification of the Type A Component definition and subdivision of Type B Components into Class 1 or Class 2.
- Updated crack-like flaw interaction rules.
- Re-wrote weld residual stress solution Annex for use in the assessment of crack-like flaws.

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2016 Fitness-For-Service

- Updated guidance on material toughness predictions for use in the assessment of crack-like flaws.
- Updated evaluation procedures for the assessment of creep damage.
- Added Annex covering metallurgical investigation and evaluation of mechanical properties in a fire damage assessment.
- Developed new Part 14 covering the assessment of fatigue damage.

This publication is written as a standard. Its words shall and must indicate explicit requirements that are essential for an assessment procedure to be correct. The word should indicates recommendations that are good practice but not essential. The word may indicate recommendations that are optional.

Most of the technology that underlies this standard was developed by the Joint Industry Program on Fitness-For-Service, administered by The Materials Properties Council. The sponsorship of the member companies of this research consortium and the voluntary efforts of their company representatives are acknowledged with gratitude.

The committee encourages the broad use of the state-of-the-art methods presented here for evaluating all types of pressure vessels, boiler components, piping and tanks. The committee intends to continuously improve this standard as improved methodology is developed and as user feedback is received. All users are encouraged to inform the committee if they discover areas in which these procedures should be corrected, revised or expanded. Suggestions should be submitted to the Secretary, API/ASME Fitness-For-Service Joint Committee, The American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Two Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016, or SecretaryFFS@asme.org.

There is an option available to receive an e-mail notification when errata are posted to a particular code or standard. This option can be found on the Committee Web at <http://go.asme.org/ffscommittee> after selecting “errata” in the “Publication Information” section.

This standard is under the jurisdiction of the ASME Board on Pressure Technology Codes and Standards and the API CRE Committee and is the direct responsibility of the API/ASME Fitness-For-Service Joint Committee. The American National Standards Institute approved API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2016 in June, 2016.

Although every effort has been made to assure the accuracy and reliability of the information that is presented in this standard, API and ASME make no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and expressly disclaim any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any regulation with which this publication may conflict.

Special Notes

This international code or standard was developed under ASME/API Joint Committee on Fitness-For-Service Policies and Procedures which were approved by ANSI and accredited as meeting the criteria for American National Standards and it is an American National Standard. The Standards Committee that approved the code or standard was balanced to assure that individuals from competent and concerned interests have had an opportunity to participate. The proposed code or standard was made available for public review and comment that provides an opportunity for additional public input from industry, academia, regulatory agencies, and the public-at-large.

This document addresses problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed.

Nothing contained in this document is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in this document be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

Neither API nor ASME nor any employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees of API or ASME make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this document. Neither API nor ASME nor any employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees of API or ASME represent that use of this document would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

This document may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made to assure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained herein; however, API and ASME make no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this document and hereby expressly disclaim any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any requirements of authorities having jurisdiction with which this document may conflict.

This document is published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. This document is not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where this document should be utilized. The formulation and publication of this document is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Classified areas may vary depending on the location, conditions, equipment, and substances involved in any given situation. Users of this Standard should consult with the appropriate authorities having jurisdiction.

Work sites and equipment operations may differ. Users are solely responsible for assessing their specific equipment and premises in determining the appropriateness of applying the Instructions. At all times users should employ sound business, scientific, engineering, and judgment safety when using this Standard.

Users of this Standard should not rely exclusively on the information contained in this document. Sound business, scientific, engineering, and safety judgment should be used in employing the information contained herein.

API and ASME are not undertaking to meet the duties of employers, manufacturers, or suppliers to warn and properly train and equip their employees, and others exposed, concerning health and safety risks and precautions, nor undertaking their obligations to comply with authorities having jurisdiction.

Information concerning safety and health risks and proper precautions with respect to particular materials and conditions should be obtained from the employer, the manufacturer or supplier of that material, or the material safety data sheet.

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2016 Fitness-For-Service

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher.

Contact the Publisher, API Publishing Services, 1220 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005.

Copyright © 2016 by the American Petroleum Institute and The American Society of Mechanical Engineers

Contents

| | |
|---|-------------|
| PART 1 – INTRODUCTION | 1-1 |
| 1.1 INTRODUCTION | 1-1 |
| 1.1.1 <i>Construction Codes and Fitness-For-Service</i> | 1-1 |
| 1.1.2 <i>Fitness-For-Service Definition</i> | 1-1 |
| 1.2 SCOPE | 1-2 |
| 1.2.1 <i>Supplement to In-Service Inspection Codes</i> | 1-2 |
| 1.2.2 <i>Application Construction Codes</i> | 1-2 |
| 1.2.3 <i>Other Recognized Codes and Standards</i> | 1-2 |
| 1.2.4 <i>Remaining Life</i> | 1-3 |
| 1.2.5 <i>Assessment Methods for Flaw Types and Damage Conditions</i> | 1-3 |
| 1.2.6 <i>Special Cases</i> | 1-4 |
| 1.3 ORGANIZATION AND USE..... | 1-4 |
| 1.4 RESPONSIBILITIES | 1-4 |
| 1.4.1 <i>Owner-User</i> | 1-4 |
| 1.4.2 <i>Inspector</i> | 1-4 |
| 1.4.3 <i>Engineer</i> | 1-4 |
| 1.4.4 <i>Plant Engineer</i> | 1-5 |
| 1.5 QUALIFICATIONS..... | 1-5 |
| 1.5.1 <i>Education and Experience</i> | 1-5 |
| 1.5.2 <i>Owner-User</i> | 1-5 |
| 1.5.3 <i>Inspector</i> | 1-5 |
| 1.5.4 <i>Engineer</i> | 1-6 |
| 1.6 DEFINITION OF TERMS..... | 1-6 |
| 1.7 REFERENCES..... | 1-6 |
| 1.7.1 <i>Types</i> | 1-6 |
| 1.7.2 <i>Code, Standards and Recommended Practices</i> | 1-6 |
| 1.7.3 <i>Technical reports and Other Publications</i> | 1-6 |
| 1.8 TABLES | 1-7 |
| | |
| ANNEX 1A – GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND DEFINITIONS | 1A-1 |
| | |
| PART 2 – FITNESS-FOR-SERVICE ENGINEERING ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE | 2-1 |
| 2.1 GENERAL | 2-1 |
| 2.1.1 <i>Fitness-For-Service and Continued Operation</i> | 2-1 |
| 2.1.2 <i>Organization by Flaw Type and Damage Mechanism</i> | 2-2 |
| 2.1.3 <i>FFS Assessment Procedure</i> | 2-2 |
| 2.2 APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE FFS ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES..... | 2-3 |
| 2.2.1 <i>FFS Procedures for Pressurized or Unpressurized Components</i> | 2-3 |
| 2.2.2 <i>Component Definition</i> | 2-3 |
| 2.2.3 <i>Construction Codes</i> | 2-3 |
| 2.2.4 <i>Specific Applicability and Limitations</i> | 2-3 |
| 2.3 DATA REQUIREMENTS..... | 2-4 |
| 2.3.1 <i>Original Equipment Design Data</i> | 2-4 |
| 2.3.2 <i>Maintenance and Operational History</i> | 2-5 |
| 2.3.3 <i>Required Data/Measurements for a FFS Assessment</i> | 2-6 |
| 2.3.4 <i>Recommendations for Inspection Technique and Sizing Requirements</i> | 2-6 |
| 2.4 ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA..... | 2-6 |
| 2.4.1 <i>Assessment Levels</i> | 2-6 |
| 2.4.2 <i>FFS Acceptance Criteria</i> | 2-7 |

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2016 Fitness-For-Service

2.4.3 Data Uncertainties 2-9

2.5 REMAINING LIFE ASSESSMENT 2-10

2.5.1 Remaining Life..... 2-10

2.5.2 Guidance on Remaining Life Determination 2-10

2.6 REMEDIATION 2-10

2.6.1 Requirements for Remediation 2-10

2.6.2 Guidelines for Remediation 2-10

2.7 IN-SERVICE MONITORING..... 2-11

2.8 DOCUMENTATION 2-11

2.8.1 General..... 2-11

2.8.2 Applicability and Limitations 2-11

2.8.3 Data Requirements..... 2-11

2.8.4 Assessment Techniques and Acceptance Criteria 2-11

2.8.5 Remaining Life Assessment..... 2-12

2.8.6 Remediation Methods 2-12

2.8.7 In-Service Monitoring..... 2-12

2.8.8 Retention..... 2-12

2.9 NOMENCLATURE..... 2-12

2.10 REFERENCES..... 2-13

2.11 TABLES 2-14

2.12 FIGURES 2-16

ANNEX 2A – TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION – FITNESS-FOR-SERVICE ENGINEERING ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 2A-1

2A.1 TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION..... 2A-1

2A.2 REFERENCES..... 2A-1

ANNEX 2B – DAMAGE MECHANISMS 2B-1

2B.1 DETERIORATION AND FAILURE MODES..... 2B-1

2B.2 FFS ASSESSMENT AND THE IDENTIFICATION OF DAMAGE MECHANISMS..... 2B-1

2B.3 PRE-SERVICE DEFICIENCIES 2B-2

2B.3.1 Types of Pre-service Deficiencies 2B-2

2B.3.2 In-Service Inspection..... 2B-2

2B.4 IN-SERVICE DETERIORATION AND DAMAGE 2B-2

2B.4.1 Overview 2B-2

2B.4.2 General Metal Loss Due to Corrosion and/or Erosion..... 2B-3

2B.4.3 Localized Metal Loss Due to Corrosion and/or Erosion..... 2B-3

2B.4.4 Surface Connected Cracking 2B-4

2B.4.5 Subsurface Cracking and Microfissuring/Microvoid Formation..... 2B-5

2B.4.6 Metallurgical Changes..... 2B-6

2B.5 REFERENCES..... 2B-7

2B.6 TABLES 2B-8

ANNEX 2C – THICKNESS, MAWP AND STRESS EQUATIONS FOR A FFS ASSESSMENT 2C-1

2C.1 GENERAL 2C-2

2C.1.1 Scope..... 2C-2

2C.1.2 MAWP and MFH 2C-2

2C.1.3 Construction Codes and Common Rules..... 2C-2

2C.1.4 Use of VIII-2 Design Equations..... 2C-2

2C.2 CALCULATION OF T_{MIN} , MAWP (MFH), AND MEMBRANE STRESS 2C-3

2C.2.1 Overview 2C-3

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2016 Fitness-For-Service

2C.2.2 Minimum Required Wall Thickness and MAWP (MFH) 2C-3
2C.2.3 Code Revisions 2C-4
2C.2.4 Determination of Allowable Stresses 2C-4
2C.2.5 Treatment of Weld and Riveted Joint Efficiency, and Ligament Efficiency 2C-5
2C.2.6 Treatment of Damage in Formed Heads 2C-6
2C.2.7 Thickness for Supplemental Loads 2C-6
2C.2.8 Determination of Metal Loss and Future Corrosion Allowance 2C-8
2C.2.9 Treatment of Metal Loss and Future Corrosion Allowance 2C-8
2C.2.10 Treatment of Shell Distortions 2C-8
2C.3 PRESSURE VESSELS AND BOILER COMPONENTS – INTERNAL PRESSURE 2C-8
2C.3.1 Overview 2C-8
2C.3.2 Shell Tolerances 2C-9
2C.3.3 Cylindrical Shells 2C-9
2C.3.4 Spherical Shell or Hemispherical Head 2C-10
2C.3.5 Elliptical Head 2C-10
2C.3.6 Torispherical Head 2C-11
2C.3.7 Conical Shell 2C-12
2C.3.8 Toriconical Head 2C-13
2C.3.9 Conical Transition 2C-13
2C.3.10 Nozzles Connections in Shells 2C-16
2C.3.11 Junction Reinforcement Requirements at Conical Transitions 2C-21
2C.3.12 Other Components 2C-21
2C.4 PRESSURE VESSELS AND BOILER COMPONENTS – EXTERNAL PRESSURE 2C-21
2C.5 PIPING COMPONENTS AND BOILER TUBES 2C-21
2C.5.1 Overview 2C-21
2C.5.2 Metal Loss 2C-21
2C.5.3 Required Thickness and MAWP – Straight Pipes Subject To Internal Pressure 2C-21
2C.5.4 Required Thickness and MAWP – Boiler Tubes 2C-22
2C.5.5 Required Thickness and MAWP – Pipe Bends Subject To Internal Pressure 2C-23
2C.5.6 Required Thickness and MAWP for External Pressure 2C-24
2C.5.7 Branch Connections 2C-24
2C.6 API 650 STORAGE TANKS 2C-25
2C.6.1 Overview 2C-25
2C.6.2 Metal Loss 2C-25
2C.6.3 Required Thickness and MFH for Liquid Hydrostatic Loading 2C-25
2C.7 NOMENCLATURE 2C-26
2C.8 REFERENCES 2C-33
2C.9 TABLES 2C-34
2C.10 FIGURES 2C-37

ANNEX 2D – STRESS ANALYSIS OVERVIEW FOR A FFS ASSESSMENT 2D-1

2D.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS 2D-1
2D.1.1 Scope 2D-1
2D.1.2 ASME B&PV Code, Section VIII, Division 2 (VIII-2) 2D-2
2D.1.3 Applicability 2D-2
2D.1.4 Protection Against Failure Modes 2D-2
2D.1.5 Numerical Analysis 2D-2
2D.1.6 Material Properties 2D-3
2D.1.7 Applicable Loads and Load Case Combinations 2D-3
2D.1.8 Loading Histogram 2D-3
2D.2 PROTECTION AGAINST PLASTIC COLLAPSE 2D-4
2D.2.1 Overview 2D-4
2D.2.2 Elastic Stress Analysis Method 2D-4

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2016 Fitness-For-Service

2D.2.3 *Limit-Load Analysis Method* 2D-4
2D.2.4 *Elastic-Plastic Stress Analysis Method*..... 2D-5
2D.2.5 *Treatment of the Weld Joint Efficiency*..... 2D-5
2D.3 PROTECTION AGAINST LOCAL FAILURE..... 2D-5
2D.3.1 *Overview* 2D-5
2D.3.2 *Elastic Analysis Method*..... 2D-6
2D.3.3 *Elastic-Plastic Analysis Method*..... 2D-6
2D.4 PROTECTION AGAINST COLLAPSE FROM BUCKLING..... 2D-6
2D.4.1 *Assessment Procedure*..... 2D-6
2D.4.2 *Supplemental Requirements for Components with Flaws*..... 2D-6
2D.5 SUPPLEMENTAL REQUIREMENTS FOR STRESS CLASSIFICATION IN NOZZLE NECKS 2D-7
2D.6 NOMENCLATURE..... 2D-7
2D.7 REFERENCES..... 2D-7
2D.8 TABLES 2D-8

ANNEX 2E – MATERIAL PROPERTIES FOR STRESS ANALYSIS2E-1

2E.1 GENERAL 2E-1
2E.1.1 *Material Properties Required*..... 2E-1
2E.1.2 *Material Properties and In-Service Degradation* 2E-1
2E.2 STRENGTH PARAMETERS..... 2E-2
2E.2.1 *Yield and Tensile Strength* 2E-2
2E.2.2 *Flow Stress* 2E-3
2E.3 MONOTONIC STRESS-STRAIN RELATIONSHIPS 2E-4
2E.3.1 *MPC Stress-Strain Curve Model*..... 2E-4
2E.3.2 *MPC Tangent Modulus Model*..... 2E-5
2E.3.3 *Ramberg-Osgood Model*..... 2E-5
2E.3.4 *Ramberg-Osgood Tangent Modulus Model*..... 2E-6
2E.4 CYCLIC STRESS-STRAIN RELATIONSHIPS 2E-6
2E.4.1 *Ramberg-Osgood* 2E-6
2E.4.2 *Uniform Material Law*..... 2E-7
2E.5 PHYSICAL PROPERTIES..... 2E-7
2E.5.1 *Elastic Modulus* 2E-7
2E.5.2 *Poisson’s Ratio*..... 2E-7
2E.5.3 *Coefficient of Thermal Expansion*..... 2E-7
2E.5.4 *Thermal Conductivity*..... 2E-7
2E.5.5 *Thermal Diffusivity* 2E-7
2E.5.6 *Density*..... 2E-7
2E.6 NOMENCLATURE..... 2E-7
2E.7 REFERENCES..... 2E-9
2E.7.1 *Strength Parameters* 2E-9
2E.7.2 *Cyclic Stress-Strain Relationships* 2E-10
2E.7.3 *Physical Properties*..... 2E-10
2E.8 TABLES 2E-11

ANNEX 2F – ALTERNATIVE METHOD FOR ESTABLISHING THE REMAINING STRENGTH FACTOR...2F-1

2F.1 OVERVIEW 2F-1
2F.2 ESTABLISHING AN ALLOWABLE REMAINING STRENGTH FACTOR – RSF_A 2F-1
2F.3 NOMENCLATURE..... 2F-2
2F.4 REFERENCES..... 2F-2

PART 3 – ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT FOR BRITTLE FRACTURE..... 3-1

- 3.1 GENERAL 3-1
 - 3.1.1 *Evaluation of Resistance to Brittle Fracture*..... 3-1
 - 3.1.2 *Avoidance of Catastrophic Brittle Fracture*..... 3-2
 - 3.1.3 *Boilers and Boiler External Piping* 3-2
 - 3.1.4 *Supplemental Brittle Fracture Assessment to Other FFS Assessment Procedures*..... 3-2
 - 3.1.5 *Critical Exposure Temperature (CET)* 3-2
 - 3.1.6 *Minimum Allowable Temperature (MAT)* 3-3
- 3.2 APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE PROCEDURE 3-3
 - 3.2.1 *Equipment Covered*..... 3-3
 - 3.2.2 *Components Subject to Metal Loss* 3-3
 - 3.2.3 *Requirements for In-Service Inspection and Maintenance Programs*..... 3-3
- 3.3 DATA REQUIREMENTS 3-4
 - 3.3.1 *Original Equipment Design Data* 3-4
 - 3.3.2 *Maintenance and Operational History* 3-4
 - 3.3.3 *Required Data/Measurements for a FFS Assessment*..... 3-4
 - 3.3.4 *Recommendations for Inspection Technique and Sizing Requirements*..... 3-5
- 3.4 ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA..... 3-5
 - 3.4.1 *Overview* 3-5
 - 3.4.2 *Level 1 Assessment* 3-6
 - 3.4.3 *Level 2 Assessment* 3-8
 - 3.4.4 *Level 3 Assessment* 3-13
- 3.5 REMAINING LIFE ASSESSMENT 3-14
 - 3.5.1 *Acceptability for Continued Service* 3-14
 - 3.5.2 *Pressure Vessels*..... 3-14
 - 3.5.3 *Piping Systems*..... 3-14
 - 3.5.4 *Atmospheric and Low Pressure Storage Tanks*..... 3-14
- 3.6 REMEDIATION 3-14
 - 3.6.1 *Potential Use of Remediation Methods* 3-14
 - 3.6.2 *Remediation Methods* 3-14
- 3.7 IN-SERVICE MONITORING..... 3-15
 - 3.7.1 *In-Service Monitoring and Control of Process Conditions* 3-15
 - 3.7.2 *Monitoring for Degradation of Low Alloy Steel Notch Toughness* 3-15
 - 3.7.3 *Monitoring for Criticality of Growing Flaws*..... 3-16
 - 3.7.4 *Assessment of Non-Growing Flaws Detected In-Service*..... 3-16
- 3.8 DOCUMENTATION 3-16
 - 3.8.1 *Documentation Requirements for Each Assessment Level* 3-16
 - 3.8.2 *Documentation Retention* 3-16
- 3.9 NOMENCLATURE..... 3-16
- 3.10 REFERENCES..... 3-18
- 3.11 TABLES 3-19
- 3.12 FIGURES 3-25

ANNEX 3A – TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION – ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT FOR BRITTLE FRACTURE3A-1

- 3A.1 TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION..... 3A-1
- 3A.2 REFERENCES..... 3A-1

PART 4 – ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL METAL LOSS 4-1

- 4.1 GENERAL 4-1
 - 4.1.1 *Assessment Procedures for General Metal Loss*..... 4-1
 - 4.1.2 *Thickness Averaging Approach Used For the Assessment* 4-1