

# Guidelines on the fatigue life of ageing pressure vessels

# GUIDELINES ON FATIGUE LIFE OF AGEING PRESSURE VESSELS

First edition

November 2019

Published by

**Energy Institute, London**

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003  
Registered charity number 1097899

[This is a preview. Click here to purchase the full publication.](#)

The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 20 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 200 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The EI's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the EI addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer, and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the EI serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit <http://www.energyinst.org>

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies:

BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd	Qatar Petroleum
BP Oil UK Ltd	Repsol Sinopec
Centrica	RWE npower
Chevron North Sea Ltd	Saudi Aramco
Chevron Products Company	Scottish Power
Chrysaor	SGS
CLH	Shell UK Oil Products Limited
ConocoPhillips Ltd	Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd
DCC Energy	SSE
EDF Energy	TAQA Bratani
ENI	Total E&P UK Limited
E. ON UK	Total UK Limited
Equinor	Tullow Oil
ExxonMobil International Ltd	Uniper
Innogy	Valero
Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd	Vattenfall
Nexen CNOOC	Vitol Energy
Ørsted	Woodside
Perenco	World Fuel Services
Phillips 66	

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2019 by the Energy Institute, London.

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003.

Registered charity number 1097899, England

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 1 78725 110 6

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to EI and IP publications is available via our website, <https://publishing.energyinst.org>.

Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies.

For more information, contact the EI Publications Team.

e: [pubs@energyinst.org](mailto:pubs@energyinst.org)

This is a preview. [Click here to purchase the full publication.](#)

## CONTENTS

	Page
<b>Foreword</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b> .....	<b>8</b>
1.1 Background .....	8
1.2 Scope of the guidelines .....	8
<b>2 Assessment of the risk of fatigue failure</b> .....	<b>10</b>
2.1 Fatigue risk factors .....	10
2.2 Fatigue risk screening process .....	11
2.3 Consequences of leakage, fracture and release of stored energy .....	11
2.4 Fatigue design .....	12
2.4.1 Pressure vessel construction codes .....	12
2.4.2 British standards: BS 1500, BS 1515, BS 5500, PD 5500 .....	12
2.4.3 ASME Boiler and pressure vessel Code Section VIII .....	13
2.4.4 European harmonised standard EN 13445 .....	13
2.5 Design life and consideration of service history .....	14
2.6 Sources of cyclic loading .....	14
2.7 Magnitude of stress ranges .....	14
2.8 Number of loading cycles .....	15
2.9 Corrosive and other adverse environments .....	15
2.10 Criteria for establishing need for a detailed fatigue analysis .....	16
2.10.1 Experience of strictly comparable service .....	16
2.10.2 PD 5500 criteria .....	16
2.10.3 ASME VIII Division 2 criteria .....	18
2.10.4 EN 13445 criteria .....	18
2.11 Weld details .....	19
2.11.1 Weld detail classification .....	19
2.11.2 Seam welds .....	20
2.11.3 Branch and nozzle connections .....	21
2.11.4 Attachments .....	23
2.11.5 Trunnion and saddle support welds .....	23
2.11.6 Thickness .....	24
2.11.7 Parent material .....	25
2.11.8 Bolts .....	25
2.12 Quality of manufacture, fabrication weld defects and weld repairs .....	25
2.13 Defects initiating from degradation in-service .....	26
<b>3 Assessment of remaining fatigue life</b> .....	<b>27</b>
3.1 Need to assess remaining fatigue life .....	27
3.2 Detailed assessment .....	27
3.2.1 Use of codified methods .....	27
3.2.2 Shell and solid finite element (FE) stress analysis models .....	28
3.2.3 Use of structural stresses with weld classification .....	28
3.2.4 Hot spot stress approaches .....	29
3.2.5 Cycle counting .....	30
3.2.6 Calculation of fatigue life .....	30

**Contents continued**

	<b>Page</b>
3.3 Options when fatigue usage exceeds unity or is not known . . . . .	31
3.3.1 Options available . . . . .	31
3.3.2 Fatigue crack growth analysis. . . . .	31
3.3.3 Strategy for justifying continued service by repeated inspections . . . . .	32
3.3.4 Fatigue life improvement . . . . .	32
<b>4 Final remarks. . . . .</b>	<b>34</b>
 <b>Annexes</b>	
<b>Annex A Process for fatigue risk screening . . . . .</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Annex B Worked example of fatigue risk screening . . . . .</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>Annex C Case studies . . . . .</b>	<b>47</b>
C.1 Case study 1 . . . . .	47
C.2 Case study 2 . . . . .	48
<b>Annex D References. . . . .</b>	<b>51</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Figures</b>	
Figure 1	Summary of key factors leading to increased risk of fatigue. . . . . 10
Figure 2	Number of cycles for exemption from detailed fatigue analysis. . . . . 17
Figure 3	Classification of weld details in terms of fatigue performance. . . . . 20
Figure A.1	Flowchart of screening process for risk of fatigue Stage 1: Vessel characteristics. . . 37
Figure A.2	Flowchart of screening process for risk of fatigue Stage 2: Sources and cycles of fluctuating stress and the effect of environment . . . . . 38
Figure A.3	Flowchart of screening process for risk of fatigue Stage 3: Weld details, manufacturing quality and known defects. . . . . 39
Figure A.4	Flowchart of screening process for risk of fatigue Stage 4: Total scores and ranking. 40
<b>Tables</b>	
Table 1	Fatigue design life for different weld classes at various stress ranges . . . . . 20
Table 2	Seam welds ranking, from the most to the least critical weld details . . . . . 21
Table 3	Branch/nozzle connections ranking from most to least critical weld details. . . . . 22
Table 4	Attachments ranking, from the most to least critical weld details. . . . . 23
Table 5	Trunnion and saddle supports from the most to least critical weld details. . . . . 24
Table A.1	Summary of qualitative process for fatigue risk screening . . . . . 36
Table B.1	Vessel characteristics . . . . . 43
Table B.2	Sources of cyclic stress and environment . . . . . 44
Table B.3	Weld details, manufacturing quality and known defects . . . . . 45
Table B.4	Total risk scores and ranking . . . . . 46